DOI: 10.3346/jkms.2011.26.8.1007 • J Korean Med Sci 2011; 26: 1007-1013

Association between Genetic Polymorphisms of CYP2D6 and **Outcomes in Breast Cancer Patients with Tamoxifen Treatment**

Hyung Seok Park^{1,*}, Ji-Yeob Choi^{2,*,†}, Mi-Jeong Lee^{1,3}, Seho Park¹, Chang-Woo Yeo², Sang Seop Lee², Jae-Gook Shin² and Byeong-Woo Park^{1,3}

¹Department of Surgery, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul; ²Department of Pharmacology and Pharmacogenomics Research Center, Inje University College of Medicine, Busan; ³Brain Korea 21 Project for Medical Science, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

*Hyung Seok Park and Ji-Yeob Choi contributed equally to this work.

[†]Current address: Department of Biomedical Sciences, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Received: 28 March 2011 Accepted: 21 June 2011

Address for Correspondence: Byeong-Woo Park, MD Department of Surgery, Yonsei University College of Medicine, 262 Seongsan-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 120-752, Korea Tel: +82.2-2228-2100, Fax: +82.2-313-8289 E-mail: bwpark@yuhs.ac

The major part of this work was presented at the Asian Oncology Summit 2010 in Bali, Indonesia. This work was supported by a faculty research grant of Yonsei University College of Medicine [6-2010-0040 to B.W.P.], the Brain Korea 21 Project for Medical Science, Yonsei University and a Korea Science and Engineering Foundation grant funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Engineering, Korea [Grant R13-2007-023-00000-0 to J.G.S.]

INTRODUCTION

Tamoxifen is a widely used selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM) in hormone receptor positive breast cancer patients, and adjuvant tamoxifen therapy almost reduces the annual recurrence rate by half and the mortality rate by one third (1).

The biotransformation of tamoxifen is mainly mediated by cytochrome P450 (CYP) family 2, subfamily D, polypeptide 6 (CYP2D6) and CYP family 3, subfamily A, polypeptide 4/5 (CY-P3A4/5) (2). Tamoxifen itself has a low affinity to estrogen receptors, but its active metabolite forms, 4-hydroxytamoxifen and 4-hydroxy-N-desmethyltamoxifen (endoxifen) mainly mediated by CYP2D6, are more potent than tamoxifen in its antiestrogenic effect (3-6). Therefore, activity of CYP2D6 is important in order to determine steady-state plasma concentrations of endoxifen and 4-hydroxytamoxifen (7). The activity of CYP2D6 varies

The aim of the study was to evaluate the association between genetic polymorphisms of CYP2D6 and outcomes in breast cancer patients with tamoxifen treatment. We evaluated the CYP2D6 genetic polymorphisms in 766 breast cancer patients. Among them, 110 patients whose samples were prospectively collected before surgery and treated with tamoxifen were included to evaluate the association between CYP2D6 and outcomes. The genotypes of CYP2D6 were categorized as extensive metabolizer (EM), intermediate metabolizer (IM), and poor metabolizer (PM) according to the activity score. The clinicopathologic features of 110 patients were not significantly different among the three groups except for the T-stage and nodal status. The high T-stage and axillary metastasis were more frequent in the PM group. While recurrence-free and overall survival in the PM group was poorer than the other groups, there was no significant difference between the EM and the IM group. The difference between the PM and the other groups on univariate analysis disappeared on multivariate analysis. These conflicting results suggest that the clinical value of CYP2D6 polymorphisms is still unclear and more large-sized and comprehensively designed trials are necessary.

Key Words: Breast Neoplasms; Cytochrome p-450 CYP2D6; Polymorphism, Single Nucleotide; Survival Analysis; Tamoxifen

> according to the genetic polymorphisms of CYP2D6 of which allele distribution is different among ethnic groups (8). In Western countries, the CYP2D6*4 allele that may predict a lack of CYP2D6 activity exists in about 20% of Caucasians. CYP2D6*4 variants showed poorer clinical outcomes in adjuvant tamoxifen therapy than wild-type (WT) (9, 10). CYP2D6*10 variants, instead of CYP2D6*4 variants, are commonly observed in Asians (11, 12), and those genotypes have been considered a potential factor for tamoxifen resistance in Asians. A few studies are available that determine whether the genetic polymorphisms of CY-P2D6 influences the outcomes of adjuvant tamoxifen therapy in Asian populations. However, those studies are limited because they only investigated CYP2D6*10 genotypes instead of sufficient identification for other CYP2D6 genotypes (7, 13, 14).

> Therefore, we evaluated various genetic polymorphisms of CYP2D6 and compared the treatment outcome to the polymor

phism of *CYP2D6* in Korean breast cancer patients with adjuvant tamoxifen treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants and endpoints

The blood samples of 766 patients with breast cancer who underwent surgery at Severance Hospital, Yonsei University Health System were enrolled and evaluated for the genotyping study. Their blood samplings were obtained between 2002 and 2008.

In survival analysis, we excluded the patients with stage IV disease at diagnosis, unknown endocrine therapy, non-tamoxifen endocrine therapy, previous cancer history, unknown hormone receptor status or negative hormone receptor status, unknown metabolizers, bilateral breast cancer cases, and late sampling during the follow-up after surgery in the analysis of clinical outcomes. As such, 110 of 766 patients with hormone receptor positive primary breast cancer who underwent surgery and adjuvant tamoxifen therapy between 2002 and 2004 were enrolled for survival analysis, and all those 110 blood samples were prospectively collected at the time of definitive surgery. The dose of tamoxifen therapy was 20 mg/d, and the mean duration of tamoxifen therapy was 3.9 yr.

DNA was obtained from the blood samples using GeneAll Exgene blood SV (Geneall Biotechnology, Seoul, Korea) according to the manufacturer's protocol and stored at -80°C in a deep freezer until the identification of the genotypes. The patients' clinicopathologic characteristics and survival data were obtained retrospectively by reviewing medical records and a regular follow-up. Adjuvant chemotherapy and radiation therapy were also given by the disease condition.

The primary endpoint was recurrence-free survival (RFS), and the secondary endpoint was overall survival (OS). RFS was defined as the time from operation to locoregional recurrence, distant metastasis, or death from any cause. The occurrence of contralateral breast cancer and primary cancer other than breast cancer were censored at the time of the occurrence. OS was defined as the time from operation to death from any cause.

Ethics statement

The institutional review board of Yonsei University Health System approved this study (4-2009-0483). Informed consents for genetic analysis in patients whose blood samples were taken before 2005 were waived by the institutional review board according to the Enforcement Decree of Bioethic and Saftey Act in Korea, and the informed consents for genetic analysis in the other patients were obtained.

Genotyping

Amplification of the *CYP2D6* gene was performed using the primers 5-GTATCAGGTAGTCACAGTGGCTC-3 and 5-ACTGAGCC-

CTGGGAGGTAGGTA-3 with a 9700 Thermal Cycler (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), as previously described (11). Genotyping of CYP2D6 was performed by the SNaPshot method to discriminate the CYP2D6 *1, *2, *2L, *3, *4, *5, *10B (*10), *14, *18, *21, *41, *49, *52, and *60 alleles (http://www.cypalleles. ki.se/cyp2d6.htm). The details of the SNaPshot method are contained in a pending patent (PCT, KR2007/003102) (unpublished data).

To detect the deletion and duplication of the entire CYP2D6 gene, we performed two long-PCR reactions. The duplicated alleles of CYP2D6 were amplified using the primers Dup-F_2 (5'-CCT CAC CAC AGG ACT GGC CAC C-3') and Dup_R (5'-CAC GTG CAG GGC ACC TAG AT-3'). The resulting amplicon of CY-P2D6 3,303 bp in length was genotyped for the presence of a duplication-specific SNP. For the entire deletion, the CYP2D6 gene was amplified using the primers CYP2D6_3 (5'-ACC TCT CTG GGC CCT CAG GGA-3') and 3'2D6*5 (5'-CAG GCA TGA GCT AAG GCA CCC AGA C-3') (15). The resulting amplicon was analyzed for the presence of CYP2D6*5-specific SNP. Both CYP2D6 duplication and deletion alleles can be discriminated by the allele-specific SNPs located within the recombinant regions. PCR was performed using a 9700 Thermal Cycler (PE Applied Biosystems) with the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, followed by 35 cycles of 98°C for 20 sec, 64°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 3 min 30 sec, and a final elongation step at 72°C for 10 min.

Hormone receptor and HER2/neu status

Estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and HER2/ neu expressions of primary breast cancer were consecutively evaluated from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded whole sections of surgically resected breast cancer specimens using immunohistochemistry (IHC). The cut off value for ER and PR positive was over 10% staining in IHC. An IHC score of three positive was defined as positive for HER2/neu overexpression.

Grouping of metabolizing activity and statistics

CYP2D6 predicted phenotypes were based on the genotypes by the activity score (AS) suggested by previous studies (8, 16). The cases were categorized into three groups: the extensive metabolizer (EM) group if their sum of AS was \geq 2, the intermediate metabolizer (IM) group if their sum of AS was 1 to < 2, and the poor metabolizer (PM) group if their sum of AS was < 1, as described elsewhere (17).

One-way ANOVA was used for comparing the means of continuous variables among the EM, IM, and PM groups. A twosided, Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was used for analyzing of the categorical variables among the three groups. The incidence of CYP2D6 metabolizer groups in the current study was compared with that of the previous study, which was reported by Ramon y Cajal et al. (18). The statistical comparison between the two studies according to AS was performed with chi-square test using weighted cases.

The effects of CYP2D6 predicted phenotypes on RFS and OS were evaluated by the Kaplan-Meier method and log rank test. A Cox-proportional hazard model was used to determine factors associated with RFS. A *P* value less than 0.05 was considered as a statistically significant level. The statistical analysis was carried out using PASW statistics 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

The mean age of the 766 patients at diagnosis was 47.9 yr (range, 20-86 yr). Two hundred and eighteen of the 766 patients (28.5%) were *1/*10 and it was the most common *CYP2D6* genotype in the current study. This is followed by *10/*10, *1/*1, *2/*10, *1/*2, and *5/*10, showing a frequency of 143 (18.7%), 102 (13.3%), 75 (9.7%), 60 (7.8%), and 42 (5.5%), respectively. When we com-

Table	 Fre 	quency	of	CYP2D6	metabolizers	group	and	poly	morp	ohisi	ms
-------	-------------------------	--------	----	--------	--------------	-------	-----	------	------	-------	----

Genotypes	Korean brea patie	ast cancer nts	Healthy I popula	Korean tion*
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
Major genotypes *1/*10 *10/*10 *1/*1 *2/*10 *1/*2 *5/*10 *1/*5	218 143 102 74 60 42 25	28.5 18.7 13.3 9.7 7.8 5.5 3.3	203 158 94 75 44 42 27	26.8 20.8 12.4 9.9 5.8 5.5 3.5
Minor genotypes *1/*41 *2/*2 *10/*41 *2/*5 *1/*49 *2/*41 *10/*49 *1/*10xN *10/*14 *5/*10xN *1/*21 *2/*5xN *1/*21 *2/*5xN *1/*14 *1/*18 *1/*4 *10/*10xN *10/*18 *10/*121 *2/*10xN *10/*18 *10/*121 *2/*2xN *5/*5 *10/*18xN *1xN/*1xN *1xN/*5 *2/*41	10 10 9 7 6 5 4 4 4 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	$\begin{array}{c} 1.3\\ 1.3\\ 1.2\\ 0.9\\ 0.8\\ 0.7\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.4\\ 0.4\\ 0.4\\ 0.4\\ 0.3\\ 0.3\\ 0.3\\ 0.3\\ 0.3\\ 0.3\\ 0.3\\ 0.3$	8 9 19 8 8 1 12 - 2 - 7 1 - - - 6 3 3 - - - 2 - - 2 - - - 2 - - - 1 - - 1 - - - 2 - - - -	1.0 1.2 2.5 1.0 1.0 0.1 1.6 - 0.3 - 0.9 0.1 - - 0.8 0.4 0.4 - 0.3 - - 0.3 - - 0.3 - - 0.1 - 0.1 - - 0.3 - - 0.1 - - 0.1 - - 0.3 - - 0.1 - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Unknown or others	1	0.1	25	3.3
IOIAI	700	100.0	/58	100.0

*Data from reference, Lee et al. (11).

pared the frequency of *CYP2D6* genotypes in the current study with that in healthy Korean people reported in a previous study (11), the frequency of the major genotypes between the two groups was similar (Table 1). The frequency of other genotypes in the current study is shown in Table 1. There were only two patients of the heterozygous *4 genotype in this study. The frequencies of PM, IM, and EM were 6.7%, 69.0%, and 24.3%, respectively, and the frequency of the metabolizer groups according to AS was compared with that of Western breast cancer patients (Table 2) (18). We were not able to categorize 30 patients due to their unknown CYP2D6 activity.

After the exclusion of 30 patients with unidentified metabolizers, the clinicopathologic characteristics including age, T-stage, Stage IV disease at diagnosis, histologic grade, ER, PR, and HER2 in 736 patients were not significantly different between the three groups. While 47 patients with EM genotype (26.9%) and 177

|--|

	Korean breast cancer patients		Weste	ern breast c patients*	ancer
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%	P value
EM	179	24.3	31	34.4	
IM	508	69.0	49	54.4	0.01
PM	49	6.7	10	11.1	
Unknown	30	-	1	-	

*Data from reference, Ramon y Cajal et al. (18). EM, extensive metabolizer; IM, intermediate metabolizer; PM, poor metabolizer.

Table 3.	Clinicopathologic	characteristics	regarding to	o metabolizer	groups in	736 pa-
tients						

Parameters	EM n = 179 (%)	IM n = 508 (%)	PM n = 49 (%)	P value
Age (yr)	47.5 ± 10.3	48.2 ± 9.7	46.5 ± 8.5	0.42
T stage				0.12
TO	0 (0)	2 (0.4)	1 (2.0)	
Tis	10 (5.7)	51 (10.3)	3 (6.1)	
 T0	94 (53.7)	248 (50.0)	23 (46.9)	
T3	3 (1 7)	8 (1 6)	22 (44.9)	
T4	4 (2.3)	2 (0.4)	0 (0)	
Nodal status	. ,	× 7		< 0.001
Negative	128 (73.1)	316 (64.1)	20 (41.7)	
Positive	47 (26.9)	177 (35.9)	28 (58.3)	
Distant metastasis at diagnosis	7 (3.8)	18 (3.6)	0 (0)	0.39
Histologic grade				0.64
I	33 (22.4)	89 (22.3)	12 (28.6)	
/	114 (77.6)	311 (77.7)	30 (71.4)	
ER		157 (00.0)	15 (01 0)	0.86
Negative	59 (35.5) 107 (64.5)	157 (33.6)	15 (31.9)	
DD	107 (04.3)	310 (00.4)	32 (00.1)	0.72
Negative	78 (47 0)	220 (47 1)	25 (53 2)	0.72
Positive	88 (53.0)	247 (52.9)	22 (46.8)	
HER2/neu		. /		0.22
Negative	124 (81.6)	316 (74.7)	35 (77.8)	
Positive	28 (18.4)	107 (25.3)	10 (22.2)	

EM, extensive metabolizer; IM, intermediate metabolizer; PM, poor metabolizer.

patients with IM genotype (35.9%) had shown axillary lymph node metastasis, 28 patients with PM genotype (58.3%) had axillary lymph node metastasis. The axillary lymph node metastasis was more frequently observed in the PM groups than in the IM and EM group, and the difference was statistically significant (Table 3).

In the analysis of clinical outcomes, we evaluated 110 patients whose blood samples were collected at the time of surgery. The mean age of the 110 patients was 43.6 yr (range 26-60 yr). The clinicopathologic characteristics including age, neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapy status, operation type, histologic grade, ER, PR, HER2, and status of extended or switching to AI therapy were not significantly different among the EM, IM, and PM groups, except for the T-stage and nodal metastasis. While 11 patients (42.3%) in the EM group and 23 patients (30.3%) in the IM group showed T2 cancer, six patients (75.0%) in the PM group had T2 cancer. The EM and IM groups showed 30.8% and 25.0% of axillary lymph node metastasis rates, respectively, whereas the axillary lymph node metastasis rate of the PM group was 87.5%. The difference in the T-stage and nodal status among EM, PM, and IM was statistically significant (T stage; P = 0.02, nodal status; P = 0.001). Recurrence occurred in two patients in the EM group (7.7%), six patients in the IM group (7.9%), and three patients in the PM group (37.5%), respectively. Death events were reported in two patients in the IM group (2.6%) and two patients in the PM group (25.0%), respectively. The frequency of RFS and OS events among the three groups were significantly different (RFS events; P = 0.04, OS events; P = 0.03) (Table 4).

With a median of 74 months of follow-up period (range, 4-85 months), five year RFS of the EM, IM, and PM group were 91.3%, 94.2%, and 62.5%, respectively. The Kaplan-Meier survival curves illustrated no significant difference in RFS and OS between the EM and IM groups (P = 0.96) (Fig. 1). The curves of RFS and OS of the PM group showed poorer than those of the other groups, respectively, and it was statistically significant (EM vs PM in RFS;

Table 4.	Clinicopathologic	characteristics	regarding	to metabolizer	groups i	n 1	10 j	oa-
tients tre	ated with tamoxife	en therapy						

Parameters	EM n = 26 (%)	IM n = 76 (%)	PM n = 8 (%)	P value
Age (yr)	42.8 ± 6.1	44.2 ± 5.5	40.8 ± 6.5	0.20
T stage T0 Tis T1 T2	0 (0) 2 (7.7) 13 (50.0) 11 (42.3)	0 (0) 10 (13.2) 43 (56.6) 23 (30.3)	1 (12.5) 0 (0) 1 (12.5) 6 (75.0)	0.02
Nodal status Negative Positive	18 (69.2) 8 (30.8)	57 (75.0) 19 (25.0)	1 (12.5) 7 (87.5)	0.001
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (Done)	1 (3.8)	2 (2.6)	1 (12.5)	0.30
Operation type Breast conservation surgery	10 (38.5)	22 (28.9)	3 (37.5)	0.65
Mastectomy	16 (61.5)	53 (71.1)	5 (62.5)	
Histologic grade I II/III	5 (22.7) 17 (77.3)	17 (27.0) 46 (73.0)	2 (28.6) 5 (71.4)	0.91
ER Negative Positive	3 (11.5) 23 (88.5)	2 (2.6) 74 (97.4)	1 (12.5) 7 (87.5)	0.11
PR Negative Positive	5 (19.2) 21 (80.8)	14 (18.4) 62 (81.6)	1 (12.5) 7 (87.5)	1.00
<i>HER2/neu</i> Negative Positive	24 (92.3) 2 (7.7)	67 (89.3) 8 (10.7)	7 (87.5) 1 (12.5)	1.00
Adjuvant chemotherapy (Done)	20 (76.9)	58 (76.3)	8 (100)	0.29
Extended aromatase inhibitior therapy None Done	20 (76.9) 6 (23.1)	63 (82.9) 13 (17.1)	5 (62.5) 3 (37.5)	0.35
Total RFS events Locoregional recurrence Distant metastasis	2 (7.7) 1 (3.8) 1 (3.8)	6 (7.9) 0 (0) 6 (7.9)	3 (37.5) 0 (0) 3 (37.5)	0.04
Death events	0 (0)	2 (2.6)	2 (25.0)	0.03

EM, extensive metabolizer; IM, intermediate metabolizer; PM, poor metabolizer; RFS, recurrence-free survival.



Fig. 1. Kaplan-Meier Recurrence-free survival and overall survival plots according to metabolizers clustering.

 Table 5. Univariate and multivariate analysis for recurrence-free survival between the CYP2D6 polymorphisms

Variables	HR	95% CI	P value
Univariate analysis EM IM PM	1.06 5.59	Reference 0.21-5.25 0.93-33.5	0.94 0.05
Multivariate analysis* EM IM PM	1.32 5.24	Reference 0.25-6.88 0.70-39.13	0.74 0.10

*Adjustment for age, T stage (< T2 and T2), nodal status, adjuvant chemotherapy status, and the status of extended or switching Al therapy. EM, extensive metabolizer; IM, intermediate metabolizer; PM, poor metabolizer; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

P = 0.02, IM vs PM in RFS; P = 0.01, EM vs PM in OS; P = 0.01, IM vs PM in OS; P = 0.008) (Fig. 1).

Table 5 summarized the hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidential interval (CI) according to *CYP2D6* polymorphisms. The univariate analysis using the Cox regression model showed that IM was not a risk factor for tamoxifen resistance (HR 1.06, 95% CI 0.21-5.25, P = 0.94), but PM was a high risk factor for tamoxifen resistance, and it was marginally significant (HR 5.59, 95% CI 0.93-33.5, P = 0.05). However, the multivariate analysis adjusted for metabolizer groups, age, T-stage, nodal status, adjuvant chemotherapy status, and the status of extended or switching AI therapy indicated that all metabolizer groups were not a statistically significant factor associated with RFS (IM; HR 1.32, 95% CI 0.25-6.88, P = 0.74, EM; HR 5.24, 95% CI 0.70-39.13, P = 0.10).

DISCUSSION

Some researchers investigated the association between carcinogenesis and *CYP2D6* genotypes, but it is still debatable (19, 20). A previous study reported no significant association of *CYP2D6*10* polymorphism with breast cancer risks in Chinese patients (12). When we compared the incidence of the major *CYP2D6* variants which were frequently found in this study with the previous report of a healthy population in Korea (11), the incidence of the major *CYP2D6* variants in breast cancer patients was similar with that of healthy people (Table 1). This suggested that *CYP2D6* polymorphisms may be not associated with the breast cancer development.

Interestingly, the incidence of nodal metastasis seemed to be related with the CYP2D6 metabolizer grouping. We observed that the PM group showed more aggressive nodal status in the present study. The Chinese study also suggested that *CYP2D6*10* is associated with nodal involvements (12). This is similar with our results. There is a possibility that the variation of the *CYP2D6* genotype may be associated with nodal metastasis. To validate our results, further observational studies are needed.

Genetic polymorphisms of CYP2D6 are known to be quite different among ethnic groups. In particular, the CYP2D6*10 allele is more commonly observed in Asians, while *4 allele is more frequently observed in Caucasians (21). When we directly compared the incidence of CYP2D6 metabolizers in our study with that of the Western report using chi-square test with the weighted case, the difference was statistically significant (Table 2). Previous studies demonstrated that PM are found in about 20% among Caucasian populations, and the most common allele of PM in that population is CYP2D6*4 (22). The allelic frequency of CYP2D6*4 is 20% in Europeans, 1%-2% in East Asians, and 2%-7% in Africans (22). There were only two patients carrying the *4 allele in the present study, and it was similar with the previous Japanese study reporting a rare incidence of the *4 allele (23). IM is the most common metabolizer in this study, which was mainly contributed by the *10 allele. This relatively high proportion of IM genotypes compared with European populations was similar with other reports (14, 22). Because of this ethnic difference in CYP2D6 polymorphisms and metabolic activity, the association of clinical outcomes with tamoxifen therapy could be different among ethnic groups.

Since the previous report suggested that *4/*4 genotypes tend to have a higher risk of disease recurrence (9), a few studies have been reported in which patients carrying homogenous *10 alleles in Asians are associated with a higher hazard ratio or poorer survival outcomes (7, 13, 23). In the current study, we found that IM genotypes were not related with poorer RFS compared with the EM genotypes. However, RFS of the PM group was significantly worse than that of the EM or IM group. It may be due to the fact that the PM group has more patients with a larger tumor size and more lymph node metastasis than the others, which may strongly influence the difference in RFS and OS between the PM and the EM/IM. With the multivariate analysis, no association was found between the clinical outcome and CYP2D6 metabolizers grouping, and it might suggest that CYP2D6 metabolizer activity may not be a risk factor of tamoxifen resistance. In addition, the distinct feature of CYP2D6 polymorphisms among ethnicities, the rare incidence of PM in Korean, might be a crucial factor to evaluate the effect of CYP2D6 polymorphisms. Thus, further study including a larger population that can obtain sufficient statistical power to discover the implication of the rare PM genotypes on tamoxifen resistance in Asians is necessary.

Taken together, these results are not enough to support the previous reports that suggest a positive correlation between *CYP2D6* polymorphisms and treatment outcomes in patients treated with tamoxifen. Okishiro et al. (14) suggested that the *CYP2D6*10/*10* genotype, that was mainly related with the IM groups in this study, was not associated with the prognosis in patients treated with tamoxifen. This supports our results. Therefore, in contrast to the effect of poor metabolizers such as the *4 allele, such a reduced level of the active metabolites caused by the metabolic activity of the IM including the *10 allele can still be effective and sufficient to suppress in vivo tumor recurrence.

In addition, it is also possible that the use of chemotherapy or extended or switched AI may wash out the effect of reduced CYP2D6 activity on the prognosis. This possibility is supported by the findings that previous studies evaluating the patients with tamoxifen monotherapy showed a relatively positive finding between the CYP2D6 genotype and clinical outcome (9, 10, 23), whereas previous studies including the patients receiving combined adjuvant therapy such as chemotherapy or ovarian suppression therapy did not demonstrate an association between the CYP2D6 genotype and clinical outcomes (14, 24, 25). Furthermore, the results from ATAC and BIG-1-98 trials presented no relationship between CYP2D6 genotypes and clinical outcomes in postmenopausal women with hormone receptor positive breast cancer at the 33rd San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium (26, 27), and the recent meta-analysis results could not demonstrate statistically significant differences according to CYP2D6 genotyping in terms of disease-free survival and OS (28). Those results correspond with our results.

This study has several limitations. First, there has been reported that the distributions of enzyme activity-genotype were different between races; for example, the CYP2D6 *1/*1 genotype is usually identified as the reference group but the mean metabolic ratio (MR) of *1/*1 for Korean was significantly lower than the MR for Caucasian (29). Thus, there may be a misclassification of predicted phenotypes of CYP2D6 based on the activity score of genotypes among Caucasian. Further study is needed to associate the enzyme activity with known genotypes for each ethnic population. Second, the effect of concomitant use of CYP2D6 inhibitors and the compliance of the use of tamoxifen seems to be a crucial factor on the clinical outcomes, and those were validated by a previous study (30). We did not identify cases with CYP2D6 inhibitors that may alter the activity of CYP2D6 and the patients' compliance regarding tamoxifen ingestion. Nevertheless, to our knowledge, this study identified various genetic polymorphisms of CYP2D6, and is one of the largest cohort studies of CYP2D6 polymorphisms in Asian breast cancer patients with adjuvant endocrine therapy to date. Furthermore, the Food and Drug Administration of the United States (FDA) has yet to reach a consensus in recommending the testing of CYP2D6 for tamoxifen treatment, even though the Roche AmpliChip CYP450® test is easily used for the determination of CYP2D6 genotypes and was approved by FDA (18).

In conclusion, it is too early to confirm that the polymorphism of *CYP2D6* is associated with tamoxifen resistance in Korean or Asian populations.

REFERENCES

1. Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group (EBCTCG). *Effects of chemotherapy and hormonal therapy for early breast cancer on recurrence and 15-year survival: an overview of the randomized trials. Lancet* 2005; 365: 1687-717.

- Desta Z, Ward BA, Soukhova NV, Flockhart DA. Comprehensive evaluation of tamoxifen sequential biotransformation by the human cytochrome P450 system in vitro: prominent roles for CYP3A and CYP2D6. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2004; 310: 1062-75.
- 3. Lim YC, Li L, Desta Z, Zhao Q, Rae JM, Flockhart DA, Skaar TC. Endoxifen, a secondary metabolite of tamoxifen, and 4-OH-tamoxifen induce similar changes in global gene expression patterns in MCF-7 breast cancer cells. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2006; 318: 503-12.
- Borgna JL, Rochefort H. Hydroxylated metabolites of tamoxifen are formed in vivo and bound to estrogen receptor in target tissues. J Biol Chem 1981; 256: 859-68.
- Johnson MD, Zuo H, Lee KH, Trebley JP, Rae JM, Weatherman RV, Desta Z, Flockhart DA, Skaar TC. *Pharmacological characterization of 4-hydroxy-N-desmethyl tamoxifen, a novel active metabolite of tamoxifen. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2004; 85: 151-9.*
- Lim YC, Desta Z, Flockhart DA, Skaar TC. Endoxifen (4-hydroxy-N-desmethyl-tamoxifen) has anti-estrogenic effects in breast cancer cells with potency similar to 4-hydroxy-tamoxifen. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2005; 55: 471-8.
- Lim HS, Lee HJ, Lee KS, Lee ES, Jang IJ, Ro J. Clinical implications of CYP2D6 genotypes predictive of tamoxifen pharmacokinetics in metastatic breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2007; 25: 3837-45.
- Gaedigk A, Simon SD, Pearce RE, Bradford LD, Kennedy MJ, Leeder JS. The CYP2D6 activity score: translating genotype information into a qualitative measure of phenotype. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2008; 83: 234-42.
- Goetz MP, Rae JM, Suman VJ, Safgren SL, Ames MM, Visscher DW, Reynolds C, Couch FJ, Lingle WL, Flockhart DA, Desta Z, Perez EA, Ingle JN. *Pharmacogenetics of tamoxifen biotransformation is associated with clinical outcomes of efficacy and hot flashes. J Clin Oncol 2005; 23:* 9312-8.
- Schroth W, Antoniadou L, Fritz P, Schwab M, Muerdter T, Zanger UM, Simon W, Eichelbaum M, Brauch H. Breast cancer treatment outcome with adjuvant tamoxifen relative to patient CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 genotypes. J Clin Oncol 2007; 25: 5187-93.
- Lee SJ, Lee SS, Jung HJ, Kim HS, Park SJ, Yeo CW, Shin JG. Discovery of novel functional variants and extensive evaluation of CYP2D6 genetic polymorphisms in Koreans. Drug Metab Dispos 2009; 37: 1464-70.
- 12. Li H, Feng L, Xu Y, Yao L, Ouyang T, Li J, Wang T, Fan Z, Lin B, Xie Y. The association of CYP2D6 *10 polymorphism with breast cancer risk and clinico-pathologic characteristics in Chinese women. Acta Oncol 2006; 45: 597-601.
- 13. Xu Y, Sun Y, Yao L, Shi L, Wu Y, Ouyang T, Li J, Wang T, Fan Z, Fan T, Lin B, He L, Li P, Xie Y. Association between CYP2D6 *10 genotype and survival of breast cancer patients receiving tamoxifen treatment. Ann Oncol 2008; 19: 1423-9.
- 14. Okishiro M, Taguchi T, Kim SJ, Shimazu K, Tamaki Y, Noguchi S. Genetic polymorphisms of CYP2D6 10 and CYP2C19 2, 3 are not associated with prognosis, endometrial thickness, or bone mineral density in Japanese breast cancer patients treated with adjuvant tamoxifen. Cancer 2009; 115: 952-61.
- Gaedigk A, Gotschall RR, Forbes NS, Simon SD, Kearns GL, Leeder JS. Optimization of cytochrome P4502D6 (CYP2D6) phenotype assignment using a genotyping algorithm based on allele frequency data. Pharmacogenetics 1999; 9: 669-82.

- 16. Blake MJ, Gaedigk A, Pearce RE, Bomgaars LR, Christensen ML, Stowe C, James LP, Wilson JT, Kearns GL, Leeder JS. Ontogeny of dextromethorphan O- and N-demethylation in the first year of life. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2007; 81: 510-6.
- 17. Henry NL, Rae JM, Li L, Azzouz F, Skaar TC, Desta Z, Sikora MJ, Philips S, Nguyen AT, Storniolo AM, Hayes DF, Flockhart DA, Stearns V. Association between CYP2D6 genotype and tamoxifen-induced hot flashes in a prospective cohort. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2009; 117: 571-5.
- Ramón y Cajal T, Altés A, Paré L, del Rio E, Alonso C, Barnadas A, Baiget M. Impact of CYP2D6 polymorphisms in tamoxifen adjuvant breast cancer treatment. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2010; 119: 33-8.
- 19. Laforest L, Wikman H, Benhamou S, Saarikoski ST, Bouchardy C, Hirvonen A, Dayer P, Husgafvel-Pursiainen K. *CYP2D6 gene polymorphism in caucasian smokers: lung cancer susceptibility and phenotypegenotype relationships. Eur J Cancer 2000; 36: 1825-32.*
- 20. Wolf CR, Smith CA, Bishop T, Forman D, Gough AC, Spurr NK. *CYP2D6* genotyping and the association with lung cancer susceptibility. Pharmacogenetics 1994; 4: 104-6.
- 21. Sachse C, Brockmöller J, Bauer S, Roots I. Cytochrome P450 2D6 variants in a Caucasian population: allele frequencies and phenotypic consequences. Am J Hum Genet 1997; 60: 284-95.
- 22. Bradford LD. CYP2D6 allele frequency in European Caucasians, Asians, Africans and their descendants. Pharmacogenomics 2002; 3: 229-43.
- 23. Kiyotani K, Mushiroda T, Imamura CK, Hosono N, Tsunoda T, Kubo M, Tanigawara Y, Flockhart DA, Desta Z, Skaar TC, Aki F, Hirata K, Takatsuka Y, Okazaki M, Ohsumi S, Yamakawa T, Sasa M, Nakamura Y, Zembutsu H. Significant effect of polymorphisms in CYP2D6 and ABCC2 on clinical outcomes of adjuvant tamoxifen therapy for breast cancer patients. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28: 1287-93.
- 24. Wegman P, Elingarami S, Carstensen J, Stål O, Nordenskjöld B, Wingren S. Genetic variants of CYP3A5, CYP2D6, SULT1A1, UGT2B15 and tamoxifen response in postmenopausal patients with breast cancer. Breast Can-

cer Res 2007; 9: R7.

- 25. Park IH, Ro J, Park S, Lim HS, Lee KS, Kang HS, Jung SY, Lee S. Lack of any association between functionally significant CYP2D6 polymorphisms and clinical outcomes in early breast cancer patients receiving adjuvant tamoxifen treatment. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2011. Doi: 10.1007/s10549-011-1425-2.
- 26. Rae JM, Drury S, Hayes DF, Stearns V, Thibert JN, Haynes BP, Salter J, Pineda S, Cuzick J, Dowsett M. Lack of correlation between gene variants in tamoxifen metabolizing enzymes with primary endpoints in the ATAC Trial. Program and abstracts of the 33rd Annual San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium. Cancer Res 2010; 70: S1-7.
- 27. Leyland-Jones B, Regan MM, Bouzyk M, Kammler R, Tang W, Pagani O, Malbach R, Dell'Orto P, Thürlimann B, Price KN, Viale G. Outcome according to CYP2D6 Genotype among postmenopausal women with endocrine-responsive early invasive breast cancer randomized in the BIG 1-98 Trial. Program and abstracts of the 33rd Annual San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium. Cancer Res 2010; 70: S1-8.
- 28. Seruga B, Amir E. Cytochrome P450 2D6 and outcomes of adjuvant tamoxifen therapy: results of a meta-analysis. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2010; 122: 609-17.
- 29. Myrand SP, Sekiguchi K, Man MZ, Lin X, Tzeng RY, Teng CH, Hee B, Garrett M, Kikkawa H, Lin CY, Eddy SM, Dostalik J, Mount J, Azuma J, Fujio Y, Jang IJ, Shin SG, Bleavins MR, Williams JA, Paulauskis JD, Wilner KD. *Pharmacokinetics/genotype associations for major cytochrome P450 enzymes in native and first- and third-generation Japanese populations: comparison with Korean, Chinese, and Caucasian populations. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2008; 84: 347-61.*
- 30. Dezentjé VO, van Blijderveen NJ, Gelderblom H, Putter H, van Herk-Sukel MP, Casparie MK, Egberts AC, Nortier JW, Guchelaar HJ. *Effect* of concomitant CYP2D6 inhibitor use and tamoxifen adherence on breast cancer recurrence in early-stage breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28: 2423-9.

AUTHOR SUMMARY

Association between Genetic Polymorphisms of *CYP2D6* and Outcomes in Breast Cancer Patients with Tamoxifen Treatment

Hyung Seok Park, Ji-Yeob Choi, Mi-Jeong Lee, Seho Park, Chang-Woo Yeo, Sang eop Lee, Jae-Gook Shin and Byeong-Woo Park

CYP2D6 metabolizes tamoxifen into more potent metabolites, which may influence clinical outcomes of tamoxifen treatment. The aim of the study was to evaluate the association between genetic polymorphisms of *CYP2D6* and outcomes in breast cancer patients with tamoxifen treatment. In the current study, presence of the CYP2D6 poor metabolizer showed poor clinicopathologic characteristics and survival in univariate analysis, but not in multivariate analysis, which suggest that the clinical value of *CYP2D6* polymorphisms is still unclear.